		ternal Provid	Pau	Paumac ASSESSMENT Paumac On-Site Supplier Assessment Supplier Self Assessment				
c	Supplier Name:			1	Suppliers Overall Risk Level			
Supplier Information	Location of manufacture					1		
Iform	(Address):					RED		
ier In	Contact Name & Email:							
ilqqu	Part / Process Description:							
S	Part Number / Commodity:					YELLOW		
Jse	Date EPQRM provided to Supplier:							
nal I	Date EPQRM signed ackno. Received:					GREEN		
Paumac Internal Use	Auditor Information Date:	Review and Approva				GREEN		
mac	By:					<u>1</u>		
Pau	Title:				Ava.	Score:		
	1. No Compliance	e 3. Minor Deviations	Evalu	ation	_			
	Evaluation Key 2. Major Deviatio		1 2	3 4	Green > 3.6 Yellow =	> 3.0 Red < 3		
	eneral:				Comme	nts		
For th	ne company certified to ISO 9001, (IATF)-1694 nose Ex. Providers that supply product that directly impacts Paumacs autor ted and or action plans to achieve.							
	er certification expiration date in Comments							
lf no	ot certified and working for certification note target of	certification date in comments						
Doe	es the company have a contingency plans for disast	ter recovery available?						
Pr	oduct Development:				·			
	nere a system in place to keep drawings / specs / p	ourchase orders current?						
Is there a APQP process in place including ability to submit PPAP as defined in Paumac's External Provider Quality Requirements Manual?								
Is the process FMEA updated when corrective actions occur or changes are made to the process?								
Su	ppliers:							
	here a documented method to evaluate suppliers / v r requirements? Are records kept of supplier performance of the supplier performance of the supplier performance of the supplier performance of the supplicit of the supplicito							
ls s	upplier performance measured with documented co	prrective action when necessary?						
Are	materials and components purchased to match cu	stomer demands?						
ls s	tock stored in adequate areas, and is FIFO practice	ed?						
ls th	nere an incoming receiving and inspection procedur	re?						
_	iman Resources:		1					
	employees given responsibility and authority for mo lity?	onitoring of the product / process						
ls th	nere documented evidence of employee training and	d development?						
	anufacturing:							
	the quality requirements monitored during producti equipment?	on with inspection, measuring and						
Are	written inspection instructions used?							
ls p	roduction approved prior to starting a run?							
ls tł	nere a written quality program and written work proc	cedures?						
ls tł	nere a PM plan present and is it followed and docun	nented?						
	here evidence of a final inspection?							
	es there appear to be a 5S system in place on the M umentation present at work center, tools & equipme							

. .

. .

	2 of 2	Re Rev. Date: 6/15

	Action Items		
Assessment Perfromed by:	Signature:	Date:	
	General Observations:		
Is there a performance matrix with goals?			
Are process and products regularly audited?			
Are causes of product and process nonconformities analyzed and corrective actions checked for effectiveness?	3		

Issue / Concern Champion Improvement / Correction **Due Date**

Parts Handling / Storage / Packaging:

Are rejects and rework quarantined and identified?

Is there adequate product traceability?

Is product packing appropriate to maintain integrity of product produced?

Are tools, inspection and measuring equipment stored appropriately?

Are quality and process data recorded and evaluated for continuous improvement?

Are the gages used for measuring calibrated and verified?

Correction / Continual Improvement:

Status